

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/827,641

Applicant(s)

JAGGER ET AL.

Examiner

Robert C. Scheibel

Art Unit

2616

## All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel

(2) Paul B. Stephens (Reg. No. 47,970)

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2 August 2007

Time: 4:00 PM EDT

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 7, 11-16, 19, and 20

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 8-5-07  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that the word adapted be removed from claims 6, 7, 19, and 20 to expedite prosecution and avoid an objection to these claims. Examiner also indicated that the withdrawn claims needed to be formally cancelled to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to these changes which have been made in the attached Examiner's Amendment.